

MACM 401/MATH 819

Assignment 3, Spring 2015.

Michael Monagan

Due Friday February 27th at 2pm.

Late Penalty: -20% for up to 70 hours late. Zero after that.

For problems involving Maple calculations and Maple programming, you should submit a printout of a Maple worksheet of your Maple session.

Question 1: The Fast Fourier Transform (30 marks)

- (a) Let $n = 2m$ and let ω be a primitive n 'th root of unity. To apply the FFT recursively, we use the fact that ω^2 is a primitive m 'th root of unity. Prove this.

Also, for $p = 97 = 3 \times 2^5$, find a primitive 8'th root of unity in \mathbb{Z}_p . Use the method in Section 4.8 which first finds a primitive element $1 < \alpha < p-1$ of \mathbb{Z}_p . Then $\omega = \alpha^{(p-1)/n}$ is a primitive n 'th root of unity.

- (b) What is the Fourier Transform for the polynomial $a(x) = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^{n-1}$, i.e. what is the vector $[a(1), a(\omega), a(\omega^2), \dots, a(\omega^{n-1})]$?
- (c) Let $M(n)$ be the number of multiplications that the FFT does. A naive implementation of the algorithm would lead to this recurrence:

$$M(n) = 2M(n/2) + n + 1 \quad \text{for } n > 1$$

with initial value $M(1) = 0$. In class we said that if we pre-compute the powers ω^i for $0 \leq i \leq n/2$ and store them in an array W , we can save half the multiplications in the transform so that

$$M(n) = 2M(n/2) + \frac{n}{2} \quad \text{for } n > 1.$$

By hand, solve this recurrence and show that $M(n) = \frac{1}{2}n \log_2 n$.

- (d) Program the FFT in Maple as a recursive procedure. Your Maple procedure should take as input (n, A, p, w) where n is a power of 2, A is an array of size n created with `Array(0..n-1)` storing the input coefficients a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} , p a prime and w a primitive n 'th root of unity in \mathbb{Z}_p . If you want to precompute an array $W = [1, w, w^2, \dots, w^{n/2-1}]$ of the powers of w to save multiplications you may do so.

Test your procedure on the following input. Let $A = [1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0]$, $p = 97$ and w be the primitive 8'th root of unity.

To see if your output B is correct, verify that when you apply the inverse FFT to B you get back A . Alternatively check $FFT(n, B, p, w^{-1}) = nA \pmod p$.

- (e) Let $a(x) = -x^3 + 3x + 1$ and $b(x) = 2x^4 - 3x^3 - 2x^2 + x + 1$ be polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_{97}[x]$. Calculate the product of $c(x) = a(x)b(x)$ using the FFT.

If you could not get your FFT procedure from part (c) to work, use the following one which computes $[a(1), a(w), \dots, a(w^{n-1})]$ using ordinary evaluation.

```

FFTfake := proc(n,A,p,w)
local f,x,i,C,wi;
  f := add(A[i]*x^i, i=0..n-1);
  C := Array(0..n-1);
  wi := 1;
  for i from 0 to n-1 do
    C[i] := Eval(f,x=wi) mod p;
    wi := wi*w mod p;
  od;
  return C;
end:

```

Question 2: The Modular GCD Algorithm (15 marks)

Consider the following pairs of polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_1 &= 58x^4 - 415x^3 - 111x + 213 \\
 b_1 &= 69x^3 - 112x^2 + 413x + 113 \\
 a_2 &= x^5 - 111x^4 + 112x^3 + 8x^2 - 888x + 896 \\
 b_2 &= x^5 - 114x^4 + 448x^3 - 672x^2 + 669x - 336 \\
 a_3 &= 396x^5 - 36x^4 + 3498x^3 - 2532x^2 + 2844x - 1870 \\
 b_3 &= 156x^5 + 69x^4 + 1371x^3 - 332x^2 + 593x - 697
 \end{aligned}$$

Compute the $\text{GCD}(a_i, b_i)$ via multiple modular mappings and Chinese remaindering. Use primes $p = 23, 29, 31, 37, 43, \dots$. Identify which primes are bad primes, and which are unlucky primes. Use `Gcd(...)` mod p to compute a GCD modulo p in Maple and the Maple commands `chrem` to put the modular images together, `mods` to put the coefficients in the symmetric range, and `divide` for testing if the calculated GCD g_i divides a_i and b_i , and any others that you need.

PLEASE make sure you input the polynomials correctly!

Question 3: Resultants (15 marks)

- Calculate the resultant of $A = 3x^2 + 3$ and $B = (x - 2)(x + 5)$ by hand.
- Let A, B, C be non-constant polynomials in $R[x]$. Show that $\text{res}(A, BC) = \text{res}(A, B) \cdot \text{res}(A, C)$.
- Let A, B be two non-zero polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Let $A = G\bar{A}$ and $B = G\bar{B}$ where $G = \text{gcd}(A, B)$. Recall that a prime p in the modular gcd algorithm is unlucky iff $p|R$ where $R = \text{res}(\bar{A}, \bar{B}) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consider the following pair of polynomials from question 4.

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= 58x^4 - 415x^3 - 111x + 213 \\
 B &= 69x^3 - 112x^2 + 413x + 113
 \end{aligned}$$

They are relatively prime, i.e., $G = 1$, $\bar{A} = A$ and $\bar{B} = B$. Using Maple, compute the resultant R and identify all unlucky primes. For each unlucky prime p compute the gcd of the polynomials A and B modulo p to verify that the primes are indeed unlucky.

Question 4: Division in $R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ (15 marks) (MATH 819 students only)

Let R be an integral domain and $A, B \in R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ with $B \neq 0$. We will develop a different algorithm for division of $A \div B$ based on the lexicographical monomial ordering.

- (a) Let X, Y, Z be monomials in x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . We will use $X >_{lex} Y$ to mean $X > Y$ in the pure lexicographical monomial ordering. Prove that $X >_{lex} Y \implies XZ >_{lex} YZ$ and use this to prove that $lm(AB) = lm(A)lm(B)$. Hence it follows that $lc(AB) = lc(A)lc(B)$ and $lt(AB) = lt(A)lt(B)$.
- (b) Therefore if $B|A$ then $A = BQ$ for some quotient Q and $lt(BQ) = lt(B)lt(Q)$ hence $lt(B)|lt(A)$ and $lc(B)|lc(A)$ in R and the monomial $lm(B)|lm(A)$. And if $lt(B)$ does not divide $lt(A)$ then B does not divide A .

Let q be the quotient $lt(A)/lt(B)$. Then we can compute $C = A - Bq$ and proceed to test if $B|C$. Sketch an algorithm for dividing in $R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ and program it in Maple. Test your algorithm in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]$ for the following input A, B .

$$B = xyz + 3x^2 - 2xz + 4yz - 3$$

$$Q = -3y^2z + 2xy + z^2$$

$$A := BQ$$

Note, you will need to compute $lt(A)$ in lexicographical order. The Maple command

```
> c := lcoeff(A, [x, y, z], 'm');
```

computes the leading coefficient c and leading monomial m in lexicographical order with $x > y > z$.

Note, a difficult step in developing this algorithm is proving termination. In the normal division algorithm in one variable x the degree of the remainder polynomials is strictly decreasing. But here in $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, even though we can show that $lt(C) <_{lex} lt(A)$ it is far from obvious that the division algorithm must terminate in a finite number of steps. A proof of termination is given in MATH 441.