

The Euclidean Algorithm

September 14, 2023 8:26 AM

The Euclidean Algorithm

Let E be a Euclidean domain with $\nu: E \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$.
Let $a, b \in E$, $b \neq 0$. Initialize $r_0 = a$ and $r_1 = b$.

$d | a \wedge d | b$ Show $d | r_n$

$$r_0 \div r_1 : \quad r_0 = q_2 r_1 + r_2 \quad r_2 \neq 0 \quad \nu(r_2) < \nu(r_1)$$

$d | r_2$

$$r_1 \div r_2 : \quad r_1 = q_3 r_2 + r_3 \quad r_3 \neq 0 \quad \nu(r_3) < \nu(r_2)$$

$d | r_3$

⋮

⋮

$$r_{n-2} \div r_{n-1} : \quad r_{n-2} = q_n r_{n-1} + r_n \quad r_n \neq 0 \quad \nu(r_n) < \nu(r_{n-1})$$

$d | r_n$

$$r_{n-1} \div r_n : \quad r_{n-1} = q_{n+1} r_n + r_{n+1} \quad r_{n+1} = 0$$

Claim r_n is a $\gcd(a, b)$.

Proof (i) Show $r_n | r_i = b$ and $r_n | r_0 = a$

(ii) Show $d | r_0$ and $d | r_i \Rightarrow d | r_n$

Claim n is finite (the algorithm terminates).

Proof $\nu(b) = \nu(r) > \nu(r_2) > \nu(r_3) > \dots > 0$

Therefore a $\gcd(a, b \neq 0)$ exists in E .

Theorem Let E be a Euclidean domain and $a, b \in E \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\exists s, t \in E$ s.t.

$$sa + tb = g \text{ where } g \text{ is any } \gcd(a, b).$$

Proof (the extended Euclidean algorithm).

Input $a, b \in E$.

Euc.
Alg.

$r_0, r_1 \leftarrow a, b.$	$s_0, s_1 \leftarrow [1, 0]$
$k \leftarrow 1.$	$t_0, t_1 \leftarrow [0, 1]$
while $r_k \neq 0$ do	
$q_{k+1} \leftarrow \text{Quo}(r_{k-1} \div r_k)$	
$r_{k+1} \leftarrow r_{k-1} - r_k q_{k+1}.$ —	
$\# r_{k-1} = r_k q_{k+1} + r_{k+1}$	
$k \leftarrow k+1.$	$s_{k+1} \leftarrow s_{k-1} - s_k q_{k+1}$
end while	$t_{k+1} \leftarrow t_{k-1} - t_k q_{k+1}.$
$n \leftarrow k-1.$	
Output r_n	$, s_n, t_n.$ Claim $s_n a + t_n b = r_n.$
\uparrow	\uparrow
g	s

Example $a=42, b=26. \mathbb{Z}$.

k	r_k	q_k	s_k	t_k	$s_{k+1} = s_{k-1} - s_k q_{k+1}$
0	42		1	0	$t_{k+1} = t_{k-1} - t_k q_{k+1}.$
1	26		0	1	
2	16	1	1	-1	$s_n a + t_n b = r_n$
3	10	1	-1	2	$5 \cdot 42 - 8 \cdot 26 = 2$
4	6	1	2	-3	"
5	4	1	-3	5	$210 - 208$

5	4	1	-3	5	$\leftarrow u - \frac{u}{2}$
n= 6	2	1	5	-8	
7	0	2	-13	21	

Claim $s_k a + t_k b = r_k$ for $0 \leq k \leq n+1$.

Proof (double induction on k).

$$\begin{array}{lll} k=0 & s_0 a + t_0 b = r_0 ? & 1 \cdot a + 0 \cdot b = a. \checkmark \\ k=1 & s_1 a + t_1 b = r_1 ? & 0 \cdot a + 1 \cdot b = b \checkmark \end{array}$$

$k \geq 1$ Assume

$$\begin{array}{l} (1) \quad s_{k-1} a + t_{k-1} b = r_{k-1} \\ (2) \quad s_k a + t_k b = r_k \end{array} .$$

Need to show $s_{k+1} a + t_{k+1} b = r_{k+1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{s}_{k+1} a + \textcircled{t}_{k+1} b &= (s_{k-1} - q_{k+1} s_k) a + (t_{k-1} - q_{k+1} t_k) b. \\ &= (s_{k-1} a + t_{k-1} b) - q_{k+1} (s_k a + t_k b) \\ &\stackrel{\text{by alg.}}{=} r_{k-1} - q_{k+1} r_k \\ &= r_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Computing inverses in \mathbb{Z}_m .

Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ with $m > a > 0$. ? \bar{a}^{-1}

E.g. in \mathbb{Z}_{13} $10 \equiv +4$. $10 \cdot \boxed{4} \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$.

Applying the EEA (m, a) we get s, t s.t.

$$\textcircled{s} m + \textcircled{t} a = r_n = g.$$

If $g > 1$ then output "a is not invertible".
Otherwise

$1+g' \downarrow$ then \downarrow output \cdots
Otherwise \downarrow $0+t_n a \equiv r_n = 1 \pmod{m}$.

So t_n is "the inverse" but t_n can be -ve.

Lemma. $|t_n| < \frac{m}{g}$ and $|s_n| < \frac{a}{g}$
 $\downarrow g=1$

$$|t_n| < m \quad \text{and} \quad |s_n| < a.$$

If $t_n < 0$ then output t_n+m else output t_n .

NB: We don't need to compute the s_k 's.

This saves $1/3$ of the work.