

MATH 895, Assignment 4, Summer 2009

Instructor: Michael Monagan

Please hand in the assignment by 9:30am on July 13th before class starts.

Late Penalty -20% off for up to 24 hours late, zero after than.

For Maple problems, please submit a printout of a Maple worksheet containing your Maple code and Maple output.

Use any tools from the Maple library, e.g. `content(...)`, `Content(...)` mod p , `divide(...)`, `Divide(...)` mod p , `eval(...)` mod p , `Interp(...)` mod p , `Linsolve(A,b)` mod p , `chrem(...)`, etc.

Brown's dense modular GCD algorithm for $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$

REFERENCE: Section 7.4 of the Geddes text.

Let $g = \gcd(a, b)$, $\bar{a} = a/g$ and $\bar{b} = b/g$. For the modular GCD algorithm in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ (one variable) we said a prime p is *bad* if $p | \text{lc}(g)$ and a prime p is *unlucky* if $\deg(\gcd(\bar{a} \bmod p, \bar{b} \bmod p)) > 0$. We apply Lemma 7.3 (see text) to identify the unlucky primes.

(a) (5 marks)

For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ we need to generalize these definitions for bad prime and unlucky prime and also define bad evaluation points and unlucky evaluation points for evaluating x_n . We do this using a monomial ordering e.g. lexicographical order. Let's use an example in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]$. Let $a = \bar{a}g$ and $b = \bar{b}g$ where

$$g = (5xz + yz - 1), \quad \bar{a} = (3x + 7y(z^2 - 1) + 1), \quad \bar{b} = (3x + 7y(z^3 - 1) + 1).$$

Here $g = \gcd(a, b)$. Let LC , LT , LM denote the leading coefficient, leading term and leading monomial respectively in lexicographical order with $x > y > z$. So in our example, $LT(a) = (5xz)(3x) = 15x^2z$, hence $LC(a) = 15$ and $LM(a) = x^2z$.

Let p be a prime and α be an evaluation point for z . We say p is *bad prime* if p divides $LC(g)$ and p is an *unlucky prime* if $\deg(\gcd(\phi_p(\bar{a}), \phi_p(\bar{b}))) > 0$. Similarly we say $z = \alpha$ is a *bad evaluation point* if $LC_{x,y}(g)(\alpha) = 0$ and $z = \alpha$ is an *unlucky evaluation point* if $\deg(\gcd(\bar{a}(x, y, z = \alpha), \bar{b}(x, y, z = \alpha))) > 0$.

Identify all bad primes, all unlucky primes, all bad evaluation points for z , and all unlucky evaluation points for z in the example.

(b) (5 marks)

Prove the following modified Lemma 7.3 for $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Let a, b be non-zero polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $\gcd(a, b) = g$. Let p be a prime and $h = \gcd(\phi_p(a), \phi_p(b)) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. If p does not divide $LC(a)$ (in lexicographical order with $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_n$) then

- (i) $LM(h) \geq LM(g)$ and
- (ii) if $LM(h) = LM(g)$ then $\phi_p(g) | h$ and $h | \phi_p(g)$.

(c) (40 marks)

Implement the modular GCD algorithm of section 7.4 in Maple. Implement two subroutines, subroutine MGCD that computes the GCD modulo a sequence of primes (use 4 digit primes), and subroutine PGCD that computes the GCD at a sequence of evaluation points (use 0, 1, 2, ... for the evaluation points). Note, subroutine PGCD is recursive. Test your algorithm on the following example polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]$. Use x as the main variable. First evaluate out z then y .

```
> c := x^3+y^3+z^3+1; d := x^3-y^3-z^3+1;
> g := x^4-123454321*y*z^2*x^2+1;
> MGCD(c,d,[x,y,z]);
> MGCD(expand(g*c),expand(g*d),[x,y,z]);
> MGCD(expand(g^2*c),expand(g^2*d),[x,y,z]);

> g := z*y*x^3+1; c := (z-1)*x+y+1; d := (z^2-1)*x+y+1;
> MGCD(expand(g*c),expand(g*d),[x,y,z]);
> g := x^4+z^2*y^2*x^2+1; c := x^4+z*y*x^2+1; d := x^4+1;
> MGCD(expand(g*c),expand(g*d),[x,y,z]);
> g := x^4+z^2*y^2*x^2+1; c := z*x^4+z*x^2+y; d := z*x^4+z^2*x^2+y;
> MGCD(expand(g*c),expand(g*d),[x,y,z]);
```

Please make your MGCD procedure print out the sequence of primes it uses using `printf(" p=%d\n",p);` .

Please make your PGCD procedure print out the sequence of evaluation points α that it uses for each variable u using `printf(" %a=%d\n",u,alpha);`

Sparse Multivariate Polynomial Interpolation

(a) (10 marks)

Prove the Schwartz-Zippel Lemma by induction on n the number of variables.

Let K be a field and f be a non-zero polynomial in $K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ of total degree $d \geq 0$ and let S be any non-empty finite subset of K . If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ are chosen at random from S then

$$\text{Prob}(f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0) \leq \frac{d}{|S|}.$$

(b) (optional, 20 marks)

Modify subroutine PGCD to use Zippel's sparse interpolation.

REFERENCE: Section 7.5 of the Geddes text.

For simplicity, assume that the gcd g is monic in x_1 . Run both your sparse algorithm and dense algorithm on the following input. Count the number of univariate gcd computations in $\mathbb{Z}_p[z]$ that each algorithm does.

```
> g := 2*x^8 + (u^8*v - 3*v^8*y + y^8*u)*x^4 + (w^8*z - 3*z^8*w + 1);
> c := 4*x^8 + 5*w^4*x^4 + 2*y^4*z^4 + 3*u^4*v^4 + 1;
> d := 6*x^8 - 5*y^4*x^4 - 4*u^4*v^4 - 3*w^4*z^4 - 2;
> a := expand(g*c);
> b := expand(g*d);
> PGCD(a,b,[x,u,v,w,y,z],p);
```

Note, to get random numbers from \mathbb{Z}_p first create a random number generator for $[0, p)$ using `r := rand(p)`; then use `alpha := r()`; to get a random number.

(c) (20 marks) Using Ben-Or/Tiwari sparse interpolation, interpolate

$$f(x, y, z) = 101x^3y^4 + 103xy^3z + 997x^6z^2$$

over \mathbb{Z} using Maple. To solve a linear system $Ax = b$ in Maple in characteristic 0 use the `x := LinearAlgebra:-LinearSolve(A,b)`; command.

REFERENCE (a copy is available on the course web page):

Michael Ben-Or and Prasoan Tiwari. A deterministic algorithm for sparse multivariate polynomial interpolation. *Proc. STOC '88*, ACM press, 301-309, 1988.